

Short Report

Haptically Linked Dyads

Are Two Motor-Control Systems Better Than One?

Kyle Reed,¹ Michael Peshkin,¹ Mitra J. Hartmann,^{1,2} Marcia Grabowecky,³ James Patton,⁴ and Peter M. Vishton⁵

¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, Northwestern University; ²Department of Biomedical Engineering, Northwestern University; ³Department of Psychology, Northwestern University; ⁴Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois; and ⁵Department of Psychology, College of William and Mary

We report performance of haptically linked dyads on a target-acquisition task, comparing it with that of the same individuals when they performed the task individually. In the dyad condition, a subject's limb motion responds to the output of two motor-control systems—the subject's own and his or her partner's—which might be expected to complicate motor planning and efficient task execution. However, task completion times indicated that dyads performed significantly faster than individuals, even though dyad members exerted large task-irrelevant forces in opposition to one another, and despite many participants' perceptions that their partner was an impediment.

A much earlier study of teams using a pursuit rotor (Wegner & Zeaman, 1956) found a similar performance increment. Since that study, there has been little research on physically coupled dyads (Sallnas & Zhai, 2003; Shergill, Bays, Frith, & Wolpert, 2003), which we find surprising because performance of motor tasks requiring the physical coordination of two or more people must be an ancient human ability. Bimanual coordination has some similarities to dyadic coordination, but controlling two arms with a single nervous system admits different strategies and constraints (Swinnen & Wenderoth, 2004).

METHOD

Thirty students (10 men; 2 left-handed; ages 18–24) from Northwestern University's psychology participant pool participated with informed consent. In each session, 2 randomly selected subjects stood on opposite ends of a two-handed rigid crank (Fig. 1), separated by a curtain. They were asked not to speak but were aware of each other's presence. An overhead LCD projector displayed targets and messages for each subject onto a white circular disk affixed to the crank; the messages

instructed one or both subjects to grasp their handles. The task was to move a mark on the disk (a black line aligned with the position of the handle) into the target as quickly as possible and hold it there until a new target appeared (a random delay of 700–1,700 ms). In the dyad condition, the projector displayed corresponding targets for the 2 subjects, so that they were presented with the targets simultaneously and (because of their mechanical coupling) completed the task simultaneously. The target changed color when the handle was properly within it. Each target subtended 6° of the disk, which had a diameter of 50.5 cm, so that the target corresponded to 2.6 cm at the perimeter of the disk. The distance between consecutive targets was 70° ± 10° (30.9 ± 4.4 cm). Five sixths of the trials required a reversal of handle rotation from the previous trial; in one sixth of the trials, handle motion in the same direction as on the previous trial was required (catch trials). The catch trials, the variation of the target position, and the variation of the delay before a new target appeared were included to prevent subjects from adapting to a predictable pattern. We discarded catch trials and the trials immediately following them from our analyses. To encourage subjects to move as quickly as possible, we displayed a motivating performance measure after each trial.

Each block of trials (consisting of 120 target acquisitions) could be performed by an individual or by a dyad. In the individual condition, the nonparticipating subject could see the apparatus move, but could not see the other person or the target. Pairs of subjects were selected randomly from the participant pool, and members of the same pair were given the same appointment time for the session. Half of the pairs completed a block of trials first as a dyad and then one block each as individuals (AB, A, B), whereas the other pairs completed blocks of trials first as individuals (A, B, AB). For all pairs, the sequence was repeated (e.g., A, B, AB, A, B, AB). The physical apparatus was identical in the individual and dyad conditions, except that the small rotational inertia of the crank (0.113 kg·m²) was doubled in the dyad condition. Each subject's force and the

Address correspondence to Kyle Brandon Reed, Northwestern University, Mechanical Engineering, 2145 Sheridan Rd., Evanston, IL 60208, e-mail: reedkb@northwestern.edu.




Fig. 1. The experimental setup and results. As illustrated in the photograph, 2 subjects shared a single rigid crank so that their limb motions resulted from their partner's actions as well as their own. In the dyad condition, the subjects were simultaneously presented with equivalent targets and attained their target simultaneously because their motions were physically linked. In the individual condition (not shown), 1 subject performed the same target-acquisition task, while the other subject stood by. Subjects were aware of each other's presence despite the curtain, in both individual and dyad trials. The graph shows the average completion time for each dyad and the average completion times for the same 2 individuals performing alone (the asterisks). A horizontal line connects the values for the 2 members of each dyad. Symbols to the left of the diagonal thus represent individuals who outperformed their dyad (only 2 of 30).

crank's motion were recorded at 1000 Hz. Each session took less than 30 min and included a total of 720 trials (480 involving each subject). After completing the session, the subjects were asked if they noticed any difference between the blocks of trials and, if so, what was different.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Two people in repetitive physical contact tend to escalate their force levels (Shergill et al., 2003). Perhaps similarly, the subjects in this study exerted a greater force in the dyad condition than in the individual condition: Force magnitude averaged 2.1 times larger in the dyad condition, with most of this additional

force being expended in opposition to the partner, rather than contributing to accelerating the crank.

Despite increased force levels, the average completion time for dyads was 54.5 ms less than the average of the completion times for the same individuals working alone, paired-samples $t(15) = 5.95, p_{\text{rep}} > .99, d = 0.81$. The average completion time for individuals was 680 ms. Figure 1 shows the average completion time for each dyad, and the average completion times for the constituent members of each dyad when working alone. Improved dyad performance was established quickly when a dyad began to work together (within 20 trials), for both the A, B, AB sequence and the AB, A, B sequence. The increased forces we observed in the dyad condition might suggest a faster subject pulling along a slower one. However, the average completion time for dyads was 24.8 ms less than the average completion time of the faster members of each dyad working alone, paired-samples $t(15) = 2.76, p_{\text{rep}} = .96, d = 0.39$. The expressed perception of some subjects that they found a partner to be an impediment was not justified by the actual performance measure.

The force profiles recorded show that when working together, many dyads developed a new strategy that was not available to the members when they were working alone: Dyads specialized such that one member contributed more to acceleration and the other to deceleration. Because the only interaction between subjects was haptic, they must have used this channel to develop a cooperative strategy. We speculate that haptic interaction between individuals is a form of communication that may be used to develop a cooperative strategy for motor tasks requiring coordination with another person.

Acknowledgments—The authors wish to thank J. Edward Colgate, Kevin Lynch, and Satoru Suzuki for their help with this research. This work was supported by National Science Foundation Human and Social Dynamics Grant ECS-0433948 to Michael Peshkin.


REFERENCES

- Sallnas, E., & Zhai, S. (2003, September). *Collaboration meets Fitts' law: Passing virtual objects with and without haptic force feedback*. Paper presented at the Conference on Human-Computer Interaction, International Federation for Information Processing, Zurich, Switzerland.
- Shergill, S.S., Bays, P.M., Frith, C.D., & Wolpert, D.M. (2003). Two eyes for an eye: The neuroscience of force escalation. *Science*, *301*, 187.
- Swinnen, S.P., & Wenderoth, N. (2004). Two hands, one brain: Cognitive neuroscience of bimanual skill. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *8*, 18–25.
- Wegner, N., & Zeaman, D. (1956). Team and individual performances on a motor learning task. *Journal of General Psychology*, *55*, 127–142.

(RECEIVED 8/30/05; REVISION ACCEPTED 11/23/05;
FINAL MATERIALS RECEIVED 12/2/05)



Exploring Psychological Science



Don't help me! Well-meaning help often seems more trouble than benefit. However, a recent study at Northwestern University has found that two people can perform a simple physical task faster than one person alone, even when each person reports the other one is a hindrance.

[\[View the Release\]](#) | [\[Download the Article\]](#)

Other Topics

[Can Looking Black Get You Killed?](#)

Jurors are more likely to hand down death sentences to Black defendants who "look more black," finds a research team led by Stanford University associate professor Jennifer Eberhardt. This is the first study to examine whether being sentenced to death is influenced by the degree to which Black defendants are perceived as having a stereotypically Black appearance.

[\[View the Release\]](#) | [\[Download the Article\]](#)



['Hands Free' Isn't Mind Free](#)

Do you think using a hands-free device makes it okay to talk on a cell phone while driving? Despite the well-intended laws requiring the use of hands-free devices, a driver's performance is impaired when distracted by even the simplest tasks, whether or not both hands are on the steering wheel.

[\[View the Release\]](#) | [\[Download the Article\]](#)

[SAT Gauges More Than Collegiate Success](#)

On February 13, high-school juniors and seniors were able to access their January 2006 SAT scores through the College Board website. The test is an important step toward gaining college acceptance. But new research shows that the test may go far beyond predicting college success; when taken in the early teens, it may actually foretell a person's success and life satisfaction after university.

[\[View the Release\]](#) | [\[Download the Article\]](#)

[Archived News Releases](#)

Media Contact

[Wray Herbert](#)

Director of Public Affairs
(202) 783-2077, Ext. 3017

Media Login

Registered members of the media, enter your e-mail address for access to the latest journal articles.

 Save login?

Not registered? [Create an account.](#)

What is this service?

APS journals explore psychology with a scientific approach cutting across multiple disciplines exploring issues affecting the everyday lives of your readers. Your registration gives you access to journal articles months before publication and e-mail updates on the latest scientific research in psychology.

Expert Referrals

Need an expert? Let APS help you. Contact us by [e-mail](#) or give us a call at (202) 783-2077.

News Releases

Previous news releases are available in the [News Release Archive.](#)



News Release

May 15, 2006

For Immediate Release

[Download the Report](#) PDF

Contact: Michael Peshkin
(847) 491-4630
peshkin@northwestern.edu
Peter Vishton
(757) 221-3879

Helping Hands: Are Two More Trouble than One?

Having another person help you with a simple physical task often seems to be more trouble than it's worth. However, researchers at Northwestern University have found that in some cases, pairs perform better than individuals even when each individual thinks the other is a hindrance. Authors of the study included psychologists, neuroscientists, and robotics researchers who were interested in the possibility of haptic communication. Haptics, from the Greek *haptiko*, relates to the sense of touch and motion. Many other kinds of pair interactions have been heavily studied, including facial expression, gesture, spoken language, and visually observing each other's actions. The researchers wished to determine if pairs could coordinate effectively through a haptic channel of communication, which has been little studied.

Their experiment, described in the May 2006 issue of *Psychological Science*, was designed to be as simple as possible, yet to isolate haptic interactions from other kinds of interactions. In the experiment two individuals grasped opposite ends of a rigid two-handled crank. A marker was attached to the crank. The participants were asked to move the marker toward a target as quickly as possible whenever a target appeared. Each participant had to deal with the other's actions, as experienced solely through the shared forces and motions of the crank. A curtain kept them from seeing each other and they were asked not to talk.

Thirty undergraduates participated in the experiment, and many said they thought the other person interfered with them. However, despite the perceived difficulty of coordination, most pairs performed significantly faster than individuals doing the same task. Not only were pairs faster than individuals, most pairs quickly developed a cooperative strategy. The pairs "specialized" such that one participant primarily accelerated the crank when the target appeared, while the other brought it to rest accurately when the marker reached the target. Most participants were unaware that they had adopted a cooperative strategy.

The researchers speculate that a capacity for haptic communication is a basic human ability used in everyday tasks such as cooperatively moving a table or exchanging a drinking glass, in helping someone learn a manual skill, or in assisting a patient's motions in therapy after a stroke.

The work was supported by the National Science Foundation's Human Social Dynamics initiative.

[Download the Article.](#) For more information, contact Michael Peshkin at peshkin@northwestern.edu.

Psychological Science is ranked among the top 10 general psychology journals for impact by the Institute for Scientific Information.



Author Kyle Reed demonstrates his apparatus for investigating haptic communication when two people try to complete a simple physical task together.